UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/898,480	07/05/2001	Tomas Andreason	1410-762	8452
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 05/25/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			AMINZAY, SHAIMA Q	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1	
2	
3	RECORD OF ORAL HEARING
4	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
5	
6	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
7	AND INTERFERENCES
8	
9	
10	Ex parte TOMAS ANDREASON
11	
12	
13	Appeal 2009-009070
14	Application 09/898,480
15	Technology Center 2600
16	
17	
18	Oral Hearing Held: April 20, 2010
19	
20	
21	Before JOHN C. MARTIN, MAHSHID D. SAADAT, and
22	CARL W. WHITEHEAD, JR., Administrative Patent Judges.
23	CARL W. WHITEHEAD, JR., Administrative Fatent Judges.
24	
25	ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANT:
26	ON BEHALL OF THE AFFELDARY.
27	
28	JOHN R. LASTOVA, ESQ.
29	Nixon & Vanderhye, P.C.
30	901 North Glebe Road, 11th Floor
31	Arlington, Virginia 22203
32	(703) 816-4025
33	(703) 010 1023
34	
35	
36	

1 The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, 2 April 20, 2010, commencing at 10:14 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark 3 Office, 600 Dulany Street, 9th Floor, Hearing Room A, Alexandria, Virginia, before Jan M. Jablonsky. 4 5 THE USHER: Calendar Number 50, Mr. Lastova. 6 JUDGE MARTIN: Good morning, Mr. Lastova. 7 MR. LASTOVA: Good morning, Judge Martin. 8 JUDGE MARTIN: Do you have a business card for the 9 reporter? 10 MR. LASTOVA: I do. Can I approach, please? 11 JUDGE MARTIN: All right. 12 MR. LASTOVA: All right. May I begin? 13 JUDGE MARTIN: Yes, please. 14 MR. LASTOVA: I want to start out by pointing out that the 15 application was filed in 2001, so I want to make sure we don't take a 2010 16 perspective on this. We've got a conventional, stationary phone, like a desk 17 phone. It's easy to use. Many of them, I'm sure, you have these on your 18 desk. They have big displays, big buttons. The voice quality is pretty good. 19 We all have, these days now, some kind of mobile device, small 20 displays, little tiny buttons. Sometimes, the voice quality is not so good. So 21 they have some advantages. The nice thing about the small phone is it's 22 portable and it's small. And it's light, and so forth. It's got some advantages 23 and disadvantages. 24 So one of the other problems is we all have lots of passwords. 25 Well, in this case, unfortunately, if you've got to remember your cell phone 26 number and your fixed line number, that's a problem as well. So these are a

1 bunch of issues that back in 2001 the inventors were trying to grapple with. 2 And the technology in independent Claims 1 and 21, which is the 3 independent claims on appeal, allows a person to use a stationary phone with 4 a mobile radio to capture the advantages of both of them, while allowing the 5 convenience of just having one telephone number. So both the mobile and 6 the stationary phones are involved in communicating the call content, 7 including speech -- and that's important -- to and from the called person 8 during the life of the call. 9 So let's take a look at Figure 1, just real quickly, just so we're 10 all on the same page here. And Figure 1 of the patent application you can 11 see there. You've got a mobile phone, and then you've also got a fixed 12 phone. The mobile phone has an ongoing call, and let's say, for example, the 13 call is going on and we find ourselves in the situation where -- excuse me. 14 I've lost my train of thought here. Yeah. Go ahead. 15 JUDGE WHITEHEAD: I don't mean to interrupt you, but just 16 explain to me what the invention is doing. If I'm making a phone call and 17 I'm using my mobile phone, then I'm contacting my stationary phone via the 18 blue link? I mean, Bluetooth link. 19 MR. LASTOVA: Right. So what are we doing here now? We 20 want to have the fixed phone. Right? So I'm actually talking and working 21 through my fixed phone, right, and the mobile phone is just a conduit. You 22 can think of it as a Bluetooth pipe. Do you understand what I'm saying 23 here? 24 JUDGE WHITEHEAD: Yes. 25 MR. LASTOVA: So in other words, what I'm doing now is I'm 26 able to get the advantage of being able to use the stationary phone, the fixed

1	phone with the big displays, the big keys and so forth. All right? And what
2	ends up happening is, as I'm speaking into my phone, that voice goes
3	through my fixed phone and goes through a Bluetooth link from my fixed
4	phone to the mobile phone. And then the call speech gets routed to the
5	mobile network. Okay? Does that answer your phone?
6	JUDGE WHITEHEAD: Yes.
7	MR. LASTOVA: I mean that question about the phone?
8	JUDGE WHITEHEAD: Yes.
9	MR. LASTOVA: Yeah, answer your phone. Sorry. Anyway,
10	so, actually, that's a good place. Let me go right there, and we'll go to Claim
11	21; and I think it kind of explains, Claim 21, what's going on there. All
12	right. In Claim 21, right, we communicate via a short-range, wireless
13	communications link. I gave the example, the Bluetooth. Right? Between
14	the stationary telephone and the mobile radio telephone where the short-
15	range wireless communication link is separate from the radio link, the point
16	there is the Bluetooth link between the stationary phone and the mobile
17	phone is separate from the radio link from the radio phone out to the bay
18	station. Those two are separate. Okay.
19	All right. So then we establish a speech channel over the short-
20	range, wireless communication link, the Bluetooth link in my example. And
21	that's going to carry speech now. It's carrying speech over the Bluetooth link
22	and that speech goes on out over the radio channel as well from the mobile
23	phone to the bay station. Okay? We're going to communicate speech to or
24	from the stationary telephone terminal. I'm talking through that, over the
25	mobile radio telephony network via that's by way of the mobile radio
26	telephone with another telephone that's the called party communicating

1 with the radio telephony network. Said communicating speech including 2 transmitting and receiving speech signals over the speech channel 3 established over the short range wireless communications link. That's the 4 Bluetooth link. 5 JUDGE WHITEHEAD: So the mobile phone in the intermediate. 6 7 MR. LASTOVA: Yes. 8 JUDGE WHITEHEAD: So I'm sitting at my desk using my 9 stationary phone. 10 MR. LASTOVA: That's right. 11 JUDGE WHITEHEAD: And then I'm using the mobile telephone as an intermediate. 12 13 MR. LASTOVA: That's right. 14 JUDGE MARTIN: Why would I want to do that? MR. LASTOVA: Okay. So why would you want to do that? 15 16 One is the fixed phone is not fixed. Right? In other words you could have 17 another fixed phone, so I could pick up a fixed phone here and I could use 18 my mobile as the conduit. And I could go out there at the receptionist desk 19 and I could use that fixed phone, right, and so forth. Right? So I could use 20 any fixed phone. I have a single telephone number, right, and I can deal 21 with it that way. 22 Now remember one of the advantages is that I'm using a fixed 23 phone; and maybe you're very savvy, Judge Whitehead. You know, you 24 don't mind doing the little things like this. Back in 2001, people were not 25 really all that comfortable with actually dialing numbers, and so forth and so on. So the advantages here include just the ease of use. The user interface is 26

- a lot better, as well as just having just one telephone number. Now, see,
- 2 you're raising your eyebrow, but to me this is a classic case of non-
- 3 obviousness. You're saying why would anybody do that, and there are
- 4 reasons people want to do this. This actually is a fairly important invention,
- 5 believe it or not. And one of the things that goes on here is when we look at
- 6 the prior art, the very eyebrow that you raise is the reason why the
- 7 Examiner's rejection is not going to bear scrutiny in terms of obviousness.
- 8 Okay?
- 9 So let me get to that, because I think that really highlights the
- 10 contrast. If we look at Henon, Henon has a reference here; and, actually, if
- 11 you look at Figure 1 of Henon as well, you can see the difference here. And
- 12 I can see why it was a little confusing, because the pictures look a little bit
- the same, but they're not the same at all. In Henon, the primary reference,
- 14 you've got a cell phone. I'm talking on my cell phone. All right. And
- 15 Henon says, "You know what? The problem with cell phones is batteries.
- 16 They get low and they die."
- So when they get low and when they die, we want to have a
- way to keep that call going rather than say, oh, my battery's dead. I've got to
- 19 go find some other phone. He says, "Oh, through a Bluetooth link, I can see
- there's a fixed phone over here. I'll have my phone. I'll set up a call transfer.
- 21 All right? So, I'll say, Judge, hang on just a second here. We can keep
- talking, but I'm going to set up a call transfer. All right? So there's a fixed
- 23 phone over here. So my cell phone talks to the fixed phone over here, over
- 24 the Bluetooth link, and says, hey, what's your phone number so I can transfer
- 25 the call to you. Okay. Well, that's your telephone number? Oh, your

1	telephone number is 212- blah-blah. You can see that in the right-hand
2	side of the figure.
3	JUDGE WHITEHEAD: Right.
4	MR. LASTOVA: Then my cell phone this is all just control
5	signaling says, "Oh, okay. Bay station? Reroute the call now the fixed
6	phone. Okay? And then the call is transferred." All right? So what ends up
7	happening is the Bluetooth link is never carrying any speech. The call is
8	never going between me and the fixed phone. The only thing that's going on
9	there is which telephone number I need to transfer the call to you.
10	JUDGE WHITEHEAD: I agree, but once the Examiner brings
11	in Tada with the Bluetooth, having a voice channel; now, wouldn't that be
12	obvious to modify Henon to include the voice channel?
13	MR. LASTOVA: Okay. All right. Let's talk about it a little
14	bit. All right. With Tada, Tada talks. Okay, for one, Tada is sort of battery
15	saving again, just like Henon is. He's trying to figure out a way to kind of
16	control the page and interval, and so forth and so on. He mentions
17	Bluetooth. It's true. And it's just a general reference. He talks about having
18	a synchronous link, you know, or an A-synchronous link. One is for speech.
19	One is for data. He talks about those, right?
20	JUDGE WHITEHEAD: Right.
21	MR. LASTOVA: Now the problem I have and we point this
22	out in the Brief, and the first problem that I think I'm having with that is that
23	we don't really have any evidence at all in Tada that any speech is actually
24	going over that Bluetooth link. What we have evidence for in Tada is that
25	there is actually e-mail communications and data transfers. So I am going to
26	point you guys to column 4, lines 29 through 37. Okay? And there you can

1 see he is talking about the apparatus, and he says it can be a notebook type 2 of personal computer that can be driven on a battery. All right? And can be 3 communicated with a portable device such as a portable telephone by 4 establishing a local link thereto by radio. 5 All right. This radio link is established and a user can remotely 6 control the PC by using the portable telephone and exchange data such as mail -- he means e-mail -- and personal information, again some data, with 7 8 the PC and the portable telephone. So there's no real teaching, Judge 9 Whitehead of speech being communicated over here. So, in other words we 10 are speculating, and it's true. There could be speech that goes over the 11 Bluetooth channel. Bluetooth allows that to happen. The problem I am 12 having now is that the Examiner hasn't found a reference that's actually 13 teaching that. There's no reference here that actually teaches speech being 14 transferred over the Bluetooth channel as a part of the call. 15 Okay? So here's where we are. Let me summarize. We're 16 asking for and providing a wire phones telephone number for a call transfer. 17 That's what we've got in Henon. And in Tada we are exchanging e-mail or 18 other data. Okay? Over a Bluetooth channel, but it's not establishing the 19 speech channel of that Bluetooth channel. Neither one is doing that. And 20 then transmitting and receiving speech channels over that speech channel 21 and Bluetooth link. All right? Now, what I want to get to right now is in 22 addition to that feature really being missing, we actually have some indicia 23 of non-obviousness that it goes to your first question to me, and that is when 24 you look at Henon, again, and if you were to turn in Henon to column 1, lines 18 - 21, I really want to put Henon in context. We really need to 25 26 understand Henon and Tada in their context. All right? Their complete

1 context, okay, so it says at line 18: "Nevertheless, those who use cellular 2 telephones often find themselves cut-off or dropped in the middle of a 3 wireless call for any number of reasons, such as battery loss, connection 4 problems or the like. Even when the connection between the cellular 5 telephone and the wire telephone remains in tact, the link quality may 6 remain very poor, for example, as the user moves between cells." Now, 7 that's the very thing that the invention has claimed in Claims 1 and 21 is 8 susceptible to. 9 If our cellular telephone's battery goes low -- okay -- the link 10 quality goes low. If the battery dies, we lose the call. Okay? So if you were 11 going to modify Henon with Tada and then add on to it, well, let's just say 12 somehow we get speech in there, which I don't know where that comes from. 13 But we throw speech in there. We now have modified Henon in such a way that it's going to directly contradict its primary objective, which is, of course, 14 15 we don't want to lose the connection and we don't want the battery to run 16 out. And we don't want this poor quality connection. So I think this is a 17 clear teaching away from the combination. I think it also undermines the 18 central purpose of Henon, and, frankly, one of the purposes of Tada: saving 19 battery. 20 In our invention we're not saving any battery, okay, at all. 21 We're using the cellular telephone's battery. So if we look then in summary 22 we've got missing features and then we've got clear teachings away in the 23 primary reference. So from the standpoint of an obviousness rejection, 24 there's more than one reason I think the rejection should be reversed. So 25 that's my formal presentation. I want to save a little time for questions. 26 Do you have any other questions about this?

1	JUDGE SAADAT: I don't have any. Thank you.
2	JUDGE WHITEHEAD: No.
3	JUDGE MARTIN: No further questions. Thank you.
4	Whereupon, at 10:27 a.m., the proceedings were concluded.
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	